

### de maximis, inc.

186 Center Street Suite 290 Clinton, NJ 08809 (908) 735-9315 (908) 735-2132 FAX

October 15, 2015

**VIA ELECTRONIC & US MAIL** 

Ms. Stephanie Vaughn ATTN: Lower Passaic River Remedial Project Manager Emergency and Remedial Response Division U.S. EPA, Region 2 290 Broadway, 20th Floor New York, New York 10007

Re: Monthly Progress Report No. 37 – September 2015 Lower Passaic River Study Area (LPRSA) River Mile 10.9 Removal Action CERCLA Docket No. 02-2012-2015

Dear Ms. Vaughn:

de maximis, inc. is submitting this Monthly Progress Report for the above-captioned project on behalf of the Cooperating Parties Group (CPG) pursuant to the Administrative Settlement Agreement and Order on Consent for Removal Action (Settlement Agreement or AOC). The Progress Report satisfies the reporting requirements of Paragraph 28 of the River Mile (RM) 10.9 Settlement Agreement. The CPG has revised this Progress Report to address the Region's direction in its September 1, 2015 letter.

## (a) <u>Actions which have been taken to comply with this Settlement Agreement during the month of September 2015.</u>

#### **Meetings/Conference Calls**

 On September 25, EPA Region 2 (Region 2) and Region 2 consultants held a conference call with CPG and CPG consultants to discuss CPG recommendations for installation of pore water samplers in the RM 10.9 Removal Area (RA) cap.

#### Correspondence

- On September 1, Region 2 provided comments on the Progress Report to CPG.
- On September 15, CPG submitted the July 2015 Progress Report to Region 2.
- On September 24, CPG submitted a summary of the August 26 28 field work and figures associated with the initial efforts to install pore water samplers in the RM 10.9 RA cap and recommendations for installation of remaining pore water samplers.
- On September 25, Region 2 requested the GIS files and bathymetry data from the June 2015 RM 10.9 RA Bathymetry Survey.
- On September 28, CPG notified Region 2 that the requested June 2015 RM 10.9 RA Bathymetry Survey data had been uploaded to the Agency's SharePoint site on September 25.



Ms. S. Vaughn RM 10.9 Removal Action - Progress Report No. 37 – September 2015 October 15, 2015 Page 2 of 4

• On September 28, CPG confirmed oversight participation of Region 2's sediment sampling and probing at RM 10.9 scheduled for September 29 – 30.

#### Work

- CPG evaluated field observations from the August 26 28 field work to deploy pore water samplers in the RM 10.9 RA cap and prepared summaries of the work and recommendations for installation of remaining pore water samplers.
- CPG contacted and discussed modified pore water samplers with equipment suppliers.
- CPG observed Region 2 sediment sampling and probing on the RM 10.9 RA cap.
- CPG continued review of the 2015 RM 10.9 Post-Construction Bathymetry Survey data.
- CPG continued development of responses to Region 2 comments on the draft River Mile 10.9 Removal Action Final Construction Report.

#### (b) Results of Sampling and Tests

CPG provided the June 2015 RM 10.9 RA Bathymetry Survey data to Region 2.

## (c) Work planned for the next two months with schedules relating to the overall project schedule for design completion and construction

- CPG will evaluate options to install pore water samplers at alternative locations on the cap.
- CPG will install remaining pore water samplers pending resolution of access to alternative sampling locations and Region 2 acceptance of locations.
- CPG will conduct a field check of the one set of pore water samplers installed in the cap.
- CPG will retrieve the one set of pore water samplers installed in the cap.
- CPG will complete processing of the 2015 RM 10.9 Post-Construction Bathymetry Survey data and prepare a data report.
- CPG will provide responses to Region 2 comments on the draft River Mile 10.9 Removal Action Final Construction Report to Region 2.

# (d) <u>Problems encountered and anticipated problems, actual or anticipated delays, and solutions developed and implemented to address actual or anticipated problems or delays</u>

The CPG has agreed to retain only near-term problems and concerns in the monthly reports moving forward pursuant to the direction in Region 2's September 1 letter; however, previous Progress Reports through July 2015 document in Section (d) significant issues and matters largely the result of actions and decisions by the Region that have significantly delayed and complicated the completion of the RM 10.9 Removal Action. The removal of this previous information does not in any way lessen its impact on the completion of the RM 10.9 Removal Action.

Ms. S. Vaughn RM 10.9 Removal Action - Progress Report No. 37 – September 2015 October 15, 2015 Page 3 of 4

- Post-Construction Monitoring Plan The CPG attempted to install pore water samplers into the RM 10.9 cap after receiving Region 2's conditional approval of the draft QAPP on August 26 -27. The combination of armor stone, geotechnical fabric and accumulated sediment prevented the successful installation of pore water samplers at nine of ten locations identified in the QAPP. CPG provided recommendations to Region 2 for installation of remaining pore water samplers on September 24 which the Region rejected. CPG consultants continue to evaluate options to install samplers at varying low tide conditions that impact foot access to Region 2-preferred sampling locations. Agreement on sampling locations and tide-controlled access to these locations may delay chemical monitoring requested the Region.
- Removal Action Final Construction Report Response to Region 2's comments on the draft River Mile 10.9 Removal Action Final Construction Report will be delayed pending resolution of the long-term cap sampling/monitoring issues and determination of a feasible chemical monitoring method. The CPG does take exception and does not agree to the Region's comments directing the CPG to remove text related to implementability and feasibility that were included in the draft report

Two significant issues remained unresolved and need to be satisfactorily addressed by the Region:

- Tierra/Maxus/Occidental (TMO) UAO There is still no satisfactory resolution concerning the Tierra/Maxus/Occidental (TM0) participation at RM 10.9. The Region has failed to require the TMO parties to participate in a significant and substantive degree that is commensurate with their obligation. As documented in the CPG's correspondence of July 27, 2012 and September 7, 2012, the offer from TMO was inadequate and provided no meaningful value to the RM 10.9 Removal Action. Subsequent requirements directed by the Region such as a utility survey of the 0.5 acre no dredge zone are inconsistent with TMO's responsibility.
- RM 10.9 Force Majeure June 2013 The CPG strongly disagrees with the Region's July 15, 2013 letter denying the Force Majeure condition outlined in CPG's June 29, 2013 letter. CPG addressed this issue in its July 31, 2013 letter to which the Region has never responded. EPA's rationale for denial was inconsistent with terms and definitions in the RM 10.9 AOC. Both the inoperability of the Bridge Street Bridge due to Hurricane Sandy and the repeated delays in the repaired motors being shipped and reinstalled were clearly beyond the control of the CPG. Moreover, Hudson and Essex Counties failed to meet their obligations under Federal Regulations to properly maintain and operate their bridges and to provide proper notice of the status of their bridges to US Coast Guard, mariners and the general public. As noted above it is the Counties obligation to ensure that their bridges are operable and ready to open upon notice. Finally, the CPG voluntarily provided funds to the Counties to operate the bridges with no regulatory requirement to do so.

Ms. S. Vaughn RM 10.9 Removal Action - Progress Report No. 37 – September 2015 October 15, 2015 Page 4 of 4

If you have any questions, please contact Rob Law or me at (908) 735-9315.

Very truly yours,

de maximis, inc.

Willard Potter

**CPG Project Coordinator** 

cc: Christopher Jimenez, EPA Region 2

Sarah Flanagan, EPA Region 2 Office of Regional Counsel

William Hyatt, CPG Coordinating Counsel

Jay Nickerson, NJDEP

David Marabello, CDM-Smith Scott Kirchner, CDM-Smith

Elizabeth Franklin, US Army Corps of Engineers

Reyhan Mehran, NOAA

Clay Stern, FWS